

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,239	07/17/2003	Anthony L. Fitzhugh	14364.99	8121
28390	7590 07/03/2006		EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.			FUBARA, BLESSING M	
IP LEGAL DEPARTMENT 3576 UNOCAL PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA ROS	A, CA 95403		1618	
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/623,239	FITZHUGH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Blessing M. Fubara	1618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/10/	2006.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 and 33-49 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 and 33-49 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate latent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/623,239 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Examiner acknowledges receipt of request for continued examination filed under 37 CFR 1/114, amendment and remarks filed 4/10/2006. Claims 1, 14, 33 and 35 are currently amended. Claims 1-26 and 33-47 and new claims 48 and 49 are pending.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on 4/10/06 has been entered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The rejection of claims 1, 2, 5 and 23-26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pulfer et al. (Incorporation of Nitric Oxide-Releasing Crosslinked polyethyleneimine Microspheres Into Vascular Grafts; Journal of Biomedical Materials Research; Wiley, New York, NY, Vol. 37, No. 2, November 1997; pp 182-189) is withdrawn because the amendment to claim 1 requires that the biocompatible polymer does not swell upon immersion in an aqueous solution and applicants' argument that the instant polymer does not swell and the polymer of Pulfer swells is persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,239 Page 3

Art Unit: 1618

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The rejection of claims 3, 4, 6-22 and 33-47 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pulfer et al. (Incorporation of Nitric Oxide-Releasing Crosslinked polyethyleneimine Microspheres Into Vascular Grafts; Journal of Biomedical Materials Research; Wiley, New York, NY, Vol. 37, No. 2, November 1997; pp 182-189) is withdrawn because the amendment to claim 1 requires that the biocompatible polymer does not swell upon immersion in an aqueous solution and applicants' argument that the instant polymer does not swell and the polymer of Pulfer swells is persuasive.

## **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-26 and 33-49 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,703,046. Although

Application/Control Number: 10/623,239 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the issued method uses the instant composition in a medical device and the polymer is the same as what is used ion the issued case and therefore, does not swell when immersed in aqueous.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is (571) 272-0594. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. (Monday to Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blessing Fubara Patent Examiner Tech. Center 1600